Selenophen-2-yl-Substituted Thiocarbonyl Ylides – at the Borderline of Dipolar and Biradical Reactivity

by Grzegorz Mlostoń*^a), Katarzyna Urbaniak^a), Anthony Linden^b), and Heinz Heimgartner*^b)

 ^a) University of Łódź, Department of Organic and Applied Chemistry, Tamka 12, PL-91-403 Łódź (phone: +48-42-6355761; fax: +48-42-6655162; e-mail: gmloston@uni.lodz.pl)
 ^b) University of Zürich, Department of Chemistry, Winterthurerstrasse 190, CH-8057 Zürich

(phone: +41-44-6354282; fax: +41-44-6356812; e-mail: heinz.heimgartner@chem.uzh.ch)

Dedicated to Professor *Christian Robl*, Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena, on the occasion of his 60th birthday

The reactions of aryl (selenophen-2-yl) thioketones with CH_2N_2 occur with spontaneous elimination of N_2 , even at low temperature (-65°), to give regioselectively sterically crowded 4,4,5,5-tetrasubstituted 1,3-dithiolanes and/or a novel type of twelve-membered dithia-diselena heterocycles as dimers of the transient thiocarbonyl *S*-methanides. The ratio of these products depends on the type of substituent located at C(4) of the phenyl ring. Whereas the formation of the 1,3-dithiolanes corresponds to a [3+2] cycloaddition of an intermediate thiocarbonyl ylide with the starting thioketone, the twelve-memberd ring has to be formed *via* dimerization of the 'thiocarbonyl ylide' with an extended biradical structure.

Introduction. – The [3+2] cycloadditions belong to the most important reactions, which are of interest for both practical applications and development of reactionmechanism concepts. Especially important are synthetic methods applied for preparations of diverse heterocyclic products [1]. In addition to the classical series of reactive 1,3-dipolar species presented in the historical reviews by *Huisgen* [2], the S-centered 1,3-dipoles, such as thiocarbonyl S-imides [3a], thiocarbonyl S-oxides [3a], thiocarbonyl S-sulfides [3b], and especially thiocarbonyl ylides [3c], have been studied extensively in recent decades. One of the most characteristic features of [3+2]cycloadditions is the concertedness of the creation of two new σ -bonds in the course of the formation of five-membered heterocycles. However, in the case of the sterically crowded thiocarbonyl ylide **2a**, the reaction with electron-deficient alkenes, such as (E)-1,2-bis(trifluoromethyl)ethene-1,2-dicarbonitrile (**3**) or dimethyl dicyanofumarate (dimethyl (E)-2,3-dicyanobut-2-enedioate), follows a stepwise mechanism *via* a zwitterionic intermediate of type **4** [4][5] (*Scheme 1*).

The stepwise mechanism was evidenced by the loss of stereospecifity, *i.e.*, the formation of two stereoisomeric thiolanes of type **5** (CF₃ groups replaced by COOMe) in the case of dimethyl dicyanofumarate [4], and the isolation of the seven-membered ketenimine **6** [5] or its derivatives obtained *via* its trapping with MeOH or H₂O in the case of **3a** [4] [5]. The reactive thiocarbonyl ylide **2a** can be generated conveniently *via* thermal cycloreversion of the 2,5-dihydro-1,3,4-thiadiazole derivative **1a** [5], which is available by treatment of 2,2,4,4-tetramethyl-3-thioxocyclobutan-1-one with CH₂N₂ [6]. However, in the case of aromatic thioketones, 1,3,4-thiadiazoles of type **1** are

^{© 2015} Verlag Helvetica Chimica Acta AG, Zürich

Scheme 1. Stepwise Reaction of a Sterically Crowded Thiocarbonyl Ylide **2a** with Electron-Deficient 1,2-Bis(trifluoromethyl)ethene-1,2-Dicarbonitrile (**3a**)



stable below -60° , and the corresponding thiocarbonyl ylides are generated *in situ* at *ca*. -40° [7].

An alternative mechanism for stepwise [3+2] cycloadditions was postulated by *Firestone* [8], and, in that case, 1,3-biradicals were proposed to appear as reactive intermediates. However, the experimental evidence for this mechanism is vague, and, to the best of our knowledge, the addition of a nitrone with substituted 1,3-dienes, leading to a seven-membered heterocycle along with the expected five-membered isoxazolidines, is the only example supporting the intermediacy of a biradical species [9]. In the case of thiocarbonyl ylides, intermediate biradicals were postulated for the formation of 1,3-dithiolanes based on computational studies [10a,b]. Moreover, the biradical mechanism was postulated for the formation of sterically crowded 1,3-dithiolanes, derived from hetaryl thioketones and cycloaliphatic thioketone *S*-methanides [10c]. In addition, the head-to-head dimerization of *S*-methanides derived from bisaryl thioketones, like thiobenzophenone *S*-methanide (**2b**), leading to 1,4-dithianes, could be explained *via* an intermediate biradical species [11] (*Scheme 2*).

Results and Discussion. – In our ongoing studies on reactions with aryl and hetaryl thioketones, we focused our attention on the generation of *S*-methanides of type **7** derived from aryl (selenophen-2-yl) and di(selenophen-2-yl) thioketones **8** (*Scheme 3*). Unexpectedly, the treatment of **8a** (Ar¹ = Ph) with CH₂N₂ led to immediate evolution of N₂, irrespective of the reaction temperature. The experiments performed at 20°, 0°, and even -65° afforded the same mixture of isomeric, sterically crowded 4,4,5,5-tetrasubstituted *cis*- and *trans*-1,3-dithiolanes **9a** as major products. The structures of these compounds were elucidated on the basis of the ¹³C-NMR spectra, in which diagnostic signals of the CH₂ groups appeared at 30.3 and 31.0 ppm, respectively [10b]. However, the ¹H-NMR analysis of the mixture obtained with **8a** revealed that, along with isomeric dithiolanes **9a**, another minor product with characteristic *multiplets* at 2.63–2.69 and 4.62–4.67 ppm was present. After separation of the mixture, this substance was obtained as slightly colored (beige) crystals. The ¹³C-NMR spectrum

Scheme 2. Generation of Thiobenzophenone S-Methanide (**2b**) and Its Behavior: a) in the Presence of Thiobenzophenone; b) in the Absence of a Trapping Agent



Scheme 3. Se-Containing Thiocarbonyl Ylides Disclosing the Nature of the Biradical Species



exhibited ten signals; two of them, located at 29.3 and 60.6 ppm were attributed to CH_2 (sp³) and CH (sp³) groups, respectively. The ESI-HR-MS indicated a dimeric structure for the intermediate thiocarbonyl ylide **7a**. However, the spectroscopic data did not correspond to the structure of the corresponding 1,4-dithiane, which could be expected as a product of typical head-to-head dimerization of bis(arylthiocarbonyl) *S*-methanides [11] (*Scheme 2*).

Finally, the structure of the new cyclodimer of the thiocarbonyl ylide 7a was established by X-ray crystallography as the hitherto unknown twelve-membered macrocycle 10a (*Fig.*).

It is worth mentioning that the analogous reaction with phenyl (thiophen-2-yl) thioketone led exclusively to a *ca.* 1:1 mixture of isomeric 1,3-dithiolanes of type 9 [13]. This observation implies that the presence of a selenophen-2-yl substituent would be prerequisite for the formation of the dimer of type 10. To assess the influence of substituents in the Ar ring of 8, a series of experiments with 4-substituted phenyl analogs of 8a was performed (*Table 1*). The obtained results revealed that electron-withdrawing substituents in the starting thioketone favor the formation of the dimer 10.



Figure. ORTEP Plot [12] of the molecular structure of **10a** (50% probability ellipsoids; arbitrary numbering of the atoms)

Table 1. Reactions of Aryl (Selenophen-2-yl) Thioketones 8 with CH_2N_2 in THF at -65°

Entry	Thioketone 8	Ar^1	Products (Yield [%]) ^a)	
			9 ^b)	10
1	8a	Ph	9a (52)	10a (19)
2	8b	$4-MeO-C_6H_4$	9b (71)	10b (traces) ^c)
3	8c	$4-Me-C_6H_4$	9c (72)	10c (traces) ^c)
4	8d	$4-Cl-C_6H_4$	9d (traces) ^c)	10d (16)
5	8e ^d)	$4 - O_2 N - C_6 H_4$	-	10e (53)
6	8f	$4-F_3C-C_6H_4$	9f (major) ^c)	10f (traces) ^c)
7	8g	Selenophen-2-yl	9g (65)	10g (traces) ^c)

^a) Yield of isolated product. ^b) Approximately 1:1 mixtures of *cis*- and *trans*-isomers. ^c) Not isolated; detected by ¹H-NMR in the crude mixture. ^d) Reaction carried out at -85° .

Remarkably, in the case of **8e** with the 4-nitrophenyl group, the reaction performed at -85° occurred with vigorous evolution of N₂, and the dimer **10e** was obtained as the sole product according to ¹H-NMR analysis. Similarly, the 4-CF₃-substituted thioketone **8f** yielded under the same conditions the dimer **10f** and only traces of the corresponding dithiolanes **9f** (*Table 1*). On the other hand, the same reaction with **8b** and **8c**, which bear electron-donating substituents, gave predominantly mixtures of isomeric 1,3-dithiolanes **9b** and **9c**, respectively, and only traces of the corresponding dimers **10** were detected. Finally, the reaction with the symmetric di(selenophen-2-yl) thioketone (**8g**) furnished 1,3-dithiolanes as the major products [13], but traces of the unstable dimer **10g** could also be identified in the crude mixture.

These results indicate that the observed [6+6] dimerization of aryl (selenophen-2-yl) thiocarbonyl S-methanides 7 is favored by the presence of electron-withdrawing

Scheme 4. Delocalized Biradical Intermediate



substituents in the phenyl ring. In our opinion, this type of dimerization of a thiocarbonyl ylide occurs *via* the intermediate delocalized biradical **11** (*Scheme 4*). Apparently, the dimerization is initiated by the formation of the CH_2-CH_2 bond, and subsequent interaction of the radical centers in the α -positions of the selenophene rings. It seems likely that the reaction of CH_2N_2 with hetaryl thioketones **8** under the applied conditions occurs without the formation of a corresponding 4,5-dihydro-1,3,4-thiadiazole of type **1**, which may exist in the solution at a very low temperature. The observed spontaneous elimination of N_2 at low temperatures differs significantly from reactions of CH_2N_2 with diaryl and cycloaliphatic thioketones.

Conclusions. – The present study shows that the *in situ*-generated aryl (selenophen-2-yl) thiocarbonyl ylides **7** undergo either dimerization to give **10**, or the competitive reaction with the starting thioketone **8** to yield 1,3-dithiolanes **9** in a regioselective manner. The formation of **10** establishes the intermediacy of a delocalized biradical **11**. The yield of **10** depends on the substitution of the phenyl ring in the starting thioketone. The competitive formation of 4,4,5,5-tetraaryl-/hetaryl-substituted 1,3-dithiolanes **9** can be proposed to occur also *via* biradical intermediates as suggested by computational studies [10a].

The authors thank the *National Science Center* (PL-Cracow) for financial support within the project *Maestro* (Grant Maestro-3; Dec-2012/06/A/ST5/00219).

Experimental Part

1. General. Column chromatography (CC): silica gel (70–230 mesh; Merck). M. p.: MEL-TEMP. II (Aldrich); uncorrected. IR Spectra: NEXUS FT-IR instrument; in KBr; $\tilde{\nu}$ in cm⁻¹. ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectra: Bruker Avance III instrument (at 600 and 150 MHz, resp.) using the solvent signal as reference; in CDCl₃; δ in ppm, J in Hz. The majority of the ¹³C signals were assigned with the aid of DEPT spectra. HR-ESI-MS: Bruker maXis spectrometer in the Laboratory of Mass Spectrometry of the University of Zurich, Finnigan MAT 59 in the Laboratory of the Institute of Organic Chemistry PAN Warsaw. Elemental analyses: in the Laboratory of the Faculty of Chemistry, University of Łódź; in %

2. *Starting Materials.* All solvents and reagents are commercially available and were used as received. Thioketones **8** were obtained from the corresponding ketones [13] and *Lawesson*'s reagent [14] in boiling toluene or benzene.

3. Reaction of Thioketones with CH_2N_2 . A soln. of the corresponding thioketone **8** (1 mmol) in dry THF (1 ml) was added dropwise to a magnetically stirred soln. of CH_2N_2 in Et_2O at -65° according to a known procedure [11]. For the most reactive 4-nitrophenyl selenophen-2-yl thioketone (**8f**), the reaction with CH_2N_2 was performed at -85° . Under these conditions, decolorization of the thioketone soln. was observed immediately after addition of each portion. Pure products were isolated by CC or by crystallization.

3.1. Reaction with Phenyl Selenophen-2-yl Thioketone (**8a**). 4,5-Diphenyl-4,5-di(selenophen-2-yl)-1,3-dithiolane (**9a**; 1:1 mixture of *cis*- and *trans*-isomer). Purified by CC (petroleum ether/CH₂Cl₂ 3:2). Yield: 135 mg (52%). Beige crystals. M.p. 162° (dec.; crystallization from hexane/CH₂Cl₂). IR (KBr): 3050m, 2920m, 1628m, 1577m, 1487s, 1419m, 1282m, 1229s, 1187m, 1157m, 1031m, 792m, 752m, 696vs. ¹H-NMR: 3.85, 3.97 (*AB*, J = 9.2, CH₂ of *cis*-isomer); 4.02 (*s*, CH₂ of *trans*-isomer); 6.89 (*d*, J = 3.9, 2 arom. H); 7.04 (*dd*, J = 5.6, 4.5, 2 arom. H); 7.01 – 7.13 (*m*, 6 arom. H); 7.19 – 7.22 (*m*, 8 arom. H); 7.25 (*d*, J = 7.2, 2 arom. H); 7.47 (*d*, J = 7.9, 4 arom. H); 7.49 (*d*, J = 7.9, 4 arom. H); 7.91 (*d*, J = 5.7, 2 arom. H); 7.95 (*d*, J = 5.7, 2 arom. H). ¹³C-NMR (mixture of *cis*- and *trans*-isomer): 30.3, 31.0 (2 CH₂), 126.5, 127.3, 127.4, 127.5, 127.9, 128.0, 130.6, 130.9, 132.3, 132.4, 132.7, 132.9 (32 arom. CH); 132.7, 141.2, 142.2, 157.6 (8 arom. C). HR-ESI-MS: 517.919210 (M⁺, C_{23} H₁₈S₂Se⁺₂; calc. 517.918183).

(5Z,11Z)-6,11-Diphenyl-7,10-dithia-15,16-diselenatricyclo[10.2.1.1^{2,5}]hexadeca-3,5,11,13-tetraene (**10a**). Isolated by CC (petroleum ether (PE)/CH₂Cl₂ 1:1). Yield: 50 mg (19%; partial dec. during CC). Beige crystals. M.p. > 180° (dec.; crystallization from hexane/CH₂Cl₂). IR (KBr): 3026s, 2951s, 1625s, 1587s, 1533s, 1486s, 1439s, 1390m, 1362m, 1244s, 1226s, 1154s, 1138s, 1122s, 1075s, 1027s, 900s, 741vs, 730s, 709vs, 696vs. ¹H-NMR: 2.63 – 2.69 (m, CH₂S); 4.62 – 4.67 (m, CH₂S); 4.82 – 4.83 (m, 2 CHSe); 6.21 (dd, J = 6.5, 2.8, 2 CH=); 6.61 (dd, J = 6.5, 1.0, 2 CH=); 7.29 – 7.31 (m, 2 arom. H); 7.35 – 7.38 (m, 4 arom. H); 7.47 – 7.50 (m, 4 arom. H). ¹³C-NMR: 29.3 (2 CH₂S); 60.6 (2 CHSe); 127.5, 128.2, 129.8, 134.5, 139.1 (10 arom. CH, 4 CH=); 123.7, 141.7, 150.3 (2 arom. C, 2 C=C). HR-ESI-MS: 531.9344 (M^+ , C₂₄H₂₀S₂Se⁺₂; calc. 531.9337).

3.2. Reaction with 4-Methoxyphenyl Selenophen-2-yl Thioketone (**8b**). 4,5-Bis(4-methoxyphenyl)-4,5-di(selenophen-2-yl)-1,3-dithiolane (**9b**; 1:1 mixture of *cis*- and *trans*-isomer). Isolated by CC (PE/CH₂Cl₂ 3:2). Yield: 205 mg (71%). Pale-yellow crystals. M.p. > 183° (dec.; crystallization from hexane/CH₂Cl₂). IR (KBr): 3054m, 2927m, 1604m, 1577m, 1507vs, 1440m, 1294m, 1254vs, 1231m, 1184m, 1032m, 799m, 767m, 688s. ¹H-NMR: 3.76, 3.78 (2s, 2 MeO); 3.85, 3.95 (*AB*, J = 9.2, CH₂ of *cis*-isomer); 3.98 (*s*, CH₂ of *trans*-isomer); 6.62 (*d*, J = 8.7, 2 arom. H); 6.69 (*d*, J = 8.8, 4 arom. H); 6.92 (*d*, J = 3.6, 4 arom. H); 7.01 – 7.03 (*m*, 2 arom. H); 7.05 – 7.07 (*m*, 2 arom. H); 7.21 (*d*, J = 5.7, 2 arom. H); 7.31 (*d*, J = 5.2, 4 arom. H); 7.34 (*d*, J = 8.8, 4 arom. H); 7.88 (*d*, J = 5.7, 2 arom. H); 7.91 (*d*, J = 5.7, 2 arom. H). ¹³C-NMR (mixture of *cis*- and *trans*-isomer): 30.1, 31.0 (2 CH₂); 55.2 (4 MeO); 111.7, 112.6, 129.7, 128.0, 131.8, 131.9, 132.1, 132.2, 132.3, 132.7 (28 arom. CH); 133.0, 134.1, 134.4, 158.2, 158.6, 158.9 (12 arom. C). HR-ESI-MS: 577.9413 (M^+ , C₂₅H₂₂O₂S₂E⁺₂; calc. 577.9378).

(5Z,11Z)-6,11-Bis(4-methoxyphenyl)-7,10-dithia-15,16-diselenatricyclo[10.2.1.1^{2,5}]hexadeca-3,5,11,13-tetraene (10b). Detected in traces in the crude mixture; could not be isolated in pure form. ¹H-NMR (selected signals): 2.62–2.67 (m, CH₂S); 4.63–4.67 (m, CH₂S); 4.83–4.84 (m, 2 CHSe).

3.3. *Reaction with 4-Methylphenyl Selenophen-2-yl Thioketone* (8c). 4,5-*Bis*(4-methylphenyl)-4,5*di*(*selenophen-2-yl*)-1,3-*dithiolane* (9c; 1:1 mixture of *cis*- and *trans*-isomer). Isolated by CC (PE/CH₂Cl₂ 3.5:1.5). Yield: 195 mg (72%). Pale-yellow crystals. M.p. > 166° (dec.; crystallization from hexane/ CH₂Cl₂). IR (KBr): 3024*m*, 2915*m*, 1626*m*, 1508*s*, 1441*m*, 1230*s*, 1193*m*, 1020*m*, 797*m*, 761*m*, 688vs. ¹H-NMR: 2.38, 2.40 (2*s*, 2 Me); 3.81, 3.93 (*AB*, *J* = 9.2, CH₂ of *cis*-isomer); 3.99 (*s*, CH₂ of *trans*-isomer); 6.88 (*d*, *J* = 3.7, 2 arom. H); 6.90 (*d*, *J* = 8.1, 4 arom. H); 6.97 (*d*, *J* = 8.1, 4 arom. H); 7.01 (*dd*, *J* = 5.6, 3.9, 2 arom. H); 7.05 (*dd*, *J* = 5.6, 3.9, 2 arom. H); 7.15 (*d*, *J* = 3.7, 2 arom. H); 7.30 (*d*, *J* = 8.3, 4 arom. H); 7.34 (*d*, *J* = 8.3, 4 arom. H); 7.87 (*d*, *J* = 5.6, 2 arom. H); 7.91 (*d*, *J* = 5.6, 2 arom. H). ¹³C-NMR (mixture of *cis*- and *trans*-isomer): 20.9, 21.0 (2 CH₂); 30.1, 30.9 (4 Me); 127.2, 127.9, 128.0, 128.1, 130.5, 130.8, 131.8, 132.1, 132.3, 132.8 (28 arom. CH); 136.9, 137.6, 138.0, 139.2, 158.3 (12 arom. C). HR-ESI-MS: 545.9515 (*M*⁺, C₂₅H₂₂S₂Se[±]; calc. 545.9493). Anal. calc for C₂₅H₂₂S₂Se₂ (544.49): C 55.15, H 4.07, S 11.78; found: C 55.36, H 4.48, S 11.71. (5Z,11Z)-6,11-Bis(4-methylphenyl)-7,10-dithia-15,16-diselenatricyclo[10.2.1.1^{2.5}]hexadeca-3,5,11,13tetraene (10c). Traces, detected and identified spectroscopically in the crude mixture. ¹H-NMR (selected signals): 2.63–2.68 (*m*, CH₂S); 4.65–4.69 (*m*, CH₂S); 4.85–4.88 (*m*, 2 CHSe).

3.4. Reaction with 4-Chlorophenyl Selenophen-2-yl Thioketone (8d). 4,5-Bis(4-chlorophenyl)-4,5di(selenophen-2-yl)-1,3-dithiolane (9d; 1:1 mixture of *cis*- and *trans*-isomer). Traces, detected and identified by ¹H-NMR in the crude mixture. ¹H-NMR: 3.85, 3.99 (AB, J = 9.2, CH₂ of *cis*-isomer); 4.03 (s, CH₂S of *trans*-isomer).

(5Z,11Z)-6,11-Bis(4-chlorophenyl)-7,10-dithia-15,16-diselenatricyclo-[10.2.1.1^{2,5}]hexadeca-3,5,11,13tetraene (10d). Isolated by CC (PE/CH₂Cl₂ 1:1; partially decomposed during chromatographic purification). Yield: 50 mg (16%). Pale-brown crystals. M.p. > 174° (dec.; crystallization from hexane/ CH₂Cl₂). IR (KBr): 3028*m*, 2954*m*, 1630*m*, 1565*m*, 1533*s*, 1482v*s*, 1419*s*, 1395*s*, 1341*m*, 1238*s*, 1222*m*, 1161*s*, 1140*m*, 1120*m*, 1087*m*, 1011*s*, 900*s*, 762v*s*, 722*s*, 674*s*. ¹H-NMR: 2.61–2.67 (*m*, CH₂S); 4.57–4.62 (*m*, CH₂S); 4.86–4.87 (*m*, 2 CHSe); 6.25 (*dd*, *J* = 6.6, 2.7, 2 CH=); 6.56 (br. *d*, *J* = 6.6, 2 CH=); 7.31, 7.41 (*AB*, *J* = 8.5, 8 arom. H). ¹³C-NMR: 29.2 (2 CH₂S); 60.6 (2 CHSe); 128.4, 131.1, 134.2, 139.7 (8 arom. H, 4 CH=); 122.3, 133.4, 140.0, 150.8 (4 arom. C, 2 C=C). HR-ESI-MS: 599.8564 (*M*⁺, C₂₄H₁₈Cl₂S₂Se⁺₂; calc. 599.8557).

3.5. Reaction with 4-Nitrophenyl Selenophen-2-yl Thioketone (**8e**). (5Z,11Z)-6,11-Bis(4-nitrophenyl)-7,10-dithia-15,16-diselenatricyclo[10.2.1.1^{2.5}]hexadeca-3,5,11,13-tetraene (**10e**). Yield: 165 mg (53%). Yellow crystals. M.p. > 230° (dec.; crystallization from hexane/CH₂Cl₂). IR (KBr): 3058*m*, 2954*m*, 1628*m*, 1587*m*, 1527*m*, 1422*m*, 1301*vs*, 1237*m*, 1198*m*, 1108*m*, 1078*m*, 846s, 769s, 715*m*, 618*m*. ¹H-NMR: 2.68 – 2.72 (*m*, CH₂S); 4.56 – 4.61 (*m*, CH₂S); 4.94 – 4.95 (*m*, 2 CHSe); 6.39 (*dd*, *J* = 6.6, 2.8, 2 CH=); 6.60 (br. *d*, *J* = 6.6, 2 CH=); 7.65, 8.23 (*AB*, *J* = 8.7, 8 arom. H). ¹³C-NMR: 32.1 (2 CH₂S); 63.5 (2 CHSe); 123.7, 129.9, 131.0, 139.0 (8 arom. CH, 4 CH=); 142.0, 143.1, 149.4, 149.9 (4 arom. C, 2 C=C). HR-ESI-MS: 621.90329 (*M*⁺, C₂₄H₁₈N₂O₄S₂Se⁺₂; calc. 621.90395).

3.6. Reaction with Selenophen-2-yl 4-(Trifluoromethyl)phenyl Thioketone (8f). The cyclodimer (5Z,11Z)-6,11-Bis[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-7,10-dithia-15,16-diselenatricyclo[10.2.1.1^{2,5}]hexadeca-3,5,11,13-tetraene (10f) was obtained only as a mixture with ketone R¹C(O)R² (R¹ = 4-CF₃C₆H₄, R² = selenophen-2-yl) and identified by NMR spectroscopy. All attempts to isolate 10f in a pure form, either chromatographically or by crystallization, were unsuccessful.

3.7. Reaction with Di(selenophen-2-yl) Thioketone (**8g**). 4,4,5,5-Tetra(selenophen-2-yl)-1,3-dithiolane (**9g**). Isolated by CC (PE/CH₂Cl₂ 3 : 2). Yield: 200 mg (65%; undergoes partial decomposition during chromatographic purification). Beige crystals. M.p. > 170° (dec.; crystallized from hexane/CH₂Cl₂). IR (KBr): 3087*m*, 3053*m*, 1631*m*, 1437*m*, 1412*m*, 1328*m*, 1230*s*, 1128*m*, 1101*m*, 1056*m*, 1022*m*, 846*m*, 733*m*, 687vs. ¹H-NMR: 4.13 (*s*, CH₂); 7.12 (*dd*, *J* = 5.8, 4.0, 4 arom. H); 7.21 (*dd*, *J* = 4.0, 1.0, 4 arom. H); 7.98 (*dd*, *J* = 5.8, 1.0, 4 arom. H). ¹³C-NMR: 30.9 (CH₂); 60.0 (2 C_q); 128.2, 132.6, 133.8 (12 arom. CH); 152.5 (4 arom. C). HR-ESI-MS: 625.71826 (*M*⁺, C₁₉H₁₄S₂Se⁺₄; calc. 625.720434).

(5Z,11Z)-6,11-Bis(selenophen-2-yl)-7,10-dithia-15,16-diselenatricyclo[10.2.1.1^{2.5}]hexadeca-3,5,11,13tetraene (10g). Observed as a minor product in the crude reaction mixture (¹H-NMR). This product completely decomposed during attempted purification by CC or crystallization. ¹H-NMR (selected signals: 2.63-2.69 (*m*, CH₂S); 4.54-4.61 (*m*, CH₂S); 4.86-4.87 (*m*, 2 CHSe).

4. X-Ray Crystal-Structure Determination of **10a** (Table 2 and Fig.)¹). A crystal of **10a** suitable for a low-temperature X-ray structure determination was obtained from hexane/CH₂Cl₂. All measurements were made on an Agilent Technologies SuperNova area-detector diffractometer [15] using MoK_a radiation (λ 0.71073 Å) from a microfocus X-ray source and an Oxford Instruments Cryojet XL cooler. Data reduction was performed with CrysAlisPro [15]. The intensities were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects, and an empirical absorption correction using spherical harmonics [15] was applied. Equivalent reflections were merged. The data collection and refinement parameters are given in Table 2, and a view of the molecule is shown in the Figure. The structure was solved by direct methods using SHELXS-2013 [16], which revealed the positions of all non-H-atoms. The non-H-atoms were refined

CCDC-1035301 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this article. These data can be obtained free of charge from the *Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre*, via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/ data_request/cif.

Table 2. Crystallographic Data for Compound 10a

Crystallized fromhexane/CH2Cl2Empirical formula $C_{24}H_{20}S_2Se_2$ Formula weight [gmol ⁻¹]530.34Crystal color, habityellow, prismCrystal color, habit $0.10 \times 0.10 \times 0.23$ Femp. [K]160(1)Crystal systemmonoclinicSpace group $C2/c$ Z8Reflections for cell determination1214620 Range for cell determination [°] $5-61$ Unit cell parameters: a [Å] a [Å]26.2131(5) b [Å]14.4025(3) c [Å]12.0823(2) β [°]99.6333(18) V [Å3]4497.17(15) D_x [gcm ⁻³]1.566 (MoK_{α}) [mm ⁻¹]3.480Scan type ω 2θ runssission factors (min; max)0.711; 1.000Total reflections measured28288Symmetry-independent reflections6258Reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 5019Reflections used in refinement6258Parameters refined253 $w(F^2)$ (all data)0.0265 $wR(F^2)$ (all data)0.0265 $wR(F^2)$ (all data)0.0265 $wR(F^2)$ (all data)0.0265 $write(F)$ [$I > 2\sigma(I)$ reflections]0.0265 $write(F)$ [$I > 2\sigma(I)$ reflections]0.0265 $write(F)$ (all data)0.0265 $write(F)$ (all data)0.021 $\Delta \rho$ (max; min) [e Å ⁻³]0.51; -0.33		
Empirical formula $C_{24}H_{20}S_2Se_2$ Formula weight [gmol ⁻¹]530.34Crystal color, habityellow, prismCrystal mensions [mm]0.10 × 0.10 × 0.23Femp, [K]160(1)Crystal systemmonoclinicSpace group $C2/c$ Z8Reflections for cell determination1214620 Range for cell determination [°]5 – 61Unit cell parameters: a [Å] a [Å]26.2131(5) b [Å]14.4025(3) c [Å]12.0823(2) β [°]99.6333(18) V [Å ³]4497.17(15) D_x [gcm ⁻³]1.566 (MoK_{α}) [mm ⁻¹]3.480Scan type ω $2\theta_{max}$ [°]60.7Fransmission factors (min; max)0.711; 1.000Total reflections measured28288Symmetry-independent reflections6258Reflections used in refinement6258Parameters refined253Final $R(F)$ [$I > 2\sigma(I)$ reflections]0.0265 $wR(F^2)$ (all data)0.0265 $wR(F^2)$ (all data)0.0265 $wR(F^2)$ (all data)0.0265 $\Delta \rho$ (max; min) [e Å ⁻³]0.51; -0.33	Crystallized from	hexane/CH ₂ Cl ₂
Formula weight [gmol ⁻¹] 50.34 Crystal color, habit yellow, prism Crystal dimensions [mm] 0.10 × 0.10 × 0.23 Femp. [K] 160(1) Crystal system monoclinic Space group $C2/c$ Z 8 Reflections for cell determination 12146 20 Range for cell determination [°] 5 – 61 Unit cell parameters: a [Å] 26.2131(5) b [Å] 14.4025(3) c [Å] 12.0823(2) β [°] 99.6333(18) V [Å ³] 4497.17(15) D_x [gcm ⁻³] 1.566 (MoK_a) [mm ⁻¹] 3.480 Scan type ω $20_{(max)}$ [°] 60.7 Transmission factors (min; max) 0.711; 1.000 Total reflections measured 28288 Symmetry-independent reflections 6258 Reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 5019 Reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 5019 Reflections used in refinement 6258 Parameters refined 253 Final $R(F)$ [$I > 2\sigma(I)$ reflections] 0.0265 $wR(F^2)$ (all data) 0.0628 Weights: $w = [\sigma^2(F_a^c) + (0.027P)^2 + 2.909P]^{-1}$ where $P = (F_a^c + 2F_a^c)/3$ Goodness of fit 1.042 Crystal state of the state o	Empirical formula	$C_{24}H_{20}S_2Se_2$
Crystal color, habityellow, prismCrystal dimensions [mm] $0.10 \times 0.10 \times 0.23$ Femp. [K]160(1)Crystal systemmonoclinicSpace group $C2/c$ Z8Reflections for cell determination12146 2θ Range for cell determination [°] $5-61$ Unit cell parameters: a [Å] a [Å]26.2131(5) b [Å]14.4025(3) c [Å]9.6333(18) V [Å]4497.17(15) D_x [gcm ⁻³]1.566 a (MoK _a) [mm ⁻¹]3.480Scan type ω $2\theta_{(max)}$ [°]60.7Transmission factors (min; max)0.711; 1.000Total reflections measured28288Symmetry-independent reflections6258Reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 5019Reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 0.0265 $wR(F^2)$ (all data)0.0625 $wR(F^2)$ (all data)0.0628Weights: $w = [\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.027P)^2 + 2.909P]^{-1}$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_o^2)/3$ Goodness of fit1.042Cinal A_{max}/σ 0.002 $\Delta \rho$ (max; min) [e Å ⁻³]0.51; -0.33	Formula weight [gmol ⁻¹]	530.34
Crystal dimensions [mm] $0.10 \times 0.10 \times 0.23$ Femp. [K]160(1)Crystal systemmonoclinicSpace group $C2/c$ Z8Reflections for cell determination1214620 Range for cell determination [°] $5-61$ Unit cell parameters: a [Å] a [Å]26.2131(5) b [Å]14.4025(3) c [Å]12.0823(2) β [°]99.6333(18) V [ų]4497.17(15) D_{c} [gm ⁻³]1.566 c (MoK $_a)$ [mm ⁻¹]3.480Scan type ω $2\theta_{(max)}$ [°]60.7Fransmission factors (min; max)0.711; 1.000Total reflections measured28288Symmetry-independent reflections6258Reflections used in refinement6258Parameters refined253Final $R(F)$ [$I > 2\sigma(I)$ reflections]0.0265 $wR(F^2)$ (all data)0.0628Weights: $w = [\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.027P)^2 + 2.909P]^{-1}$ where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_0^2)/3$ Goodness of fit1.042Final A_{max}/σ 0.002 $\Delta\rho$ (max; min) [e Å ⁻³]0.51; -0.33	Crystal color, habit	yellow, prism
Femp. [K]160(1)Crystal systemmonoclinicSpace group $C2/c$ Z8Reflections for cell determination12146 DP Range for cell determination [°]5–61Unit cell parameters: a [Å] a [Å]26.2131(5) b [Å]14.4025(3) c [Å]12.0823(2) β [°]99.6333(18) V [Ų]4497.17(15) D_x [gcm ⁻³]1.566 t (MoK _a) [mm ⁻¹]3.480Scan type ω $2\theta_{(max)}$ [°]60.7Transmission factors (min; max)0.711; 1.000Total reflections measured28288Symmetry-independent reflections6258Reflections used in refinement6258Parameters refined253Final $R(F)$ [$I > 2\sigma(I)$ reflections]0.0265 $wR(F^2)$ (all data)0.628Weights: $w = [\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.027P)^2 + 2.909P]^{-1}$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_o^2)/3$ Goodness of fit1.042Final A_{max}/σ 0.002 $\Delta\rho$ (max; min) [e Å ⁻³]0.51; -0.33	Crystal dimensions [mm]	$0.10 \times 0.10 \times 0.23$
Crystal systemmonoclinicSpace group $C2/c$ Z8Reflections for cell determination12146 2θ Range for cell determination [°]5–61Unit cell parameters: a [Å] a [Å]26.2131(5) b [Å]14.4025(3) c [Å]12.0823(2) β [°]99.6333(18) V [Å ³]4497.17(15) D_x [gcm ⁻³]1.566 (MoK_{α}) [mm ⁻¹]3.480Scan type ω $2\theta_{(max)}$ [°]60.7Transmission factors (min; max)0.711; 1.000Total reflections measured28288Symmetry-independent reflections6258Reflections used in refinement6258Parameters refined253Final $R(F)$ [$I > 2\sigma(I)$ reflections]0.0265 $wR(F^2)$ (all data)0.00628Weights: $w = [\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.027P)^2 + 2.909P]^{-1}$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_o^2)/3$ Goodness of fit1.042Final A_{max}/σ 0.002 $\Delta\rho$ (max; min) [e Å ⁻³]0.51; -0.33	Temp. [K]	160(1)
Space group C2/c Z 8 Reflections for cell determination 12146 2θ Range for cell determination [°] 5–61 Unit cell parameters: a [Å] a [Å] 26.2131(5) b [Å] 14.4025(3) c [Å] 12.0823(2) β [°] 99.6333(18) V [Å ³] 4497.17(15) D_x [gcm ⁻³] 1.566 $t(MoK_a)$ [mm ⁻¹] 3.480 Scan type ω $2\theta_{(max)}$ [°] 60.7 Transmission factors (min; max) 0.711; 1.000 Total reflections measured 28288 Symmetry-independent reflections 6258 Reflections used in refinement 6258 Parameters refined 253 Final $R(F)$ [$I > 2\sigma(I)$ reflections] 0.0265 $wR(F^2)$ (all data) 0.0628 Weights: $w = [\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.027P)^2 + 2.909P]^{-1}$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_o^2)/3$ Goodness of fit 1.042 Final A_{max}/σ 0.002 $\Delta\rho$ (max; min) [e Å ⁻³] 0.51; -0.33	Crystal system	monoclinic
Z 8 Reflections for cell determination 12146 2θ Range for cell determination [°] 5–61 Unit cell parameters: a [Å] a [Å] 26.2131(5) b [Å] 14.4025(3) c [Å] 12.0823(2) β [°] 99.6333(18) V [ų] 4497.17(15) D_x [g cm ⁻³] 1.566 $c(MoK_a)$ [mm ⁻¹] 3.480 Scan type ω $2\theta_{(max)}$ [°] 60.7 Transmission factors (min; max) 0.711; 1.000 Total reflections measured 28288 Symmetry-independent reflections 6258 Reflections used in refinement 6258 Parameters refined 253 Final $R(F)$ [$I > 2\sigma(I)$ reflections] 0.0265 $wR(F^2)$ (all data) 0.6628 Weights: $w = [\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.027P)^2 + 2.909P]^{-1}$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ Goodness of fit 1.042 Final A_{max}/σ 0.002 $\Delta\rho$ (max; min) [e Å ⁻³] 0.51; -0.33	Space group	C2/c
Reflections for cell determination12146 2θ Range for cell determination [°]5–61Unit cell parameters: a [Å]26.2131(5) b [Å]14.4025(3) c [Å]12.0823(2) β [°]99.6333(18) V [Å3]4497.17(15) D_x [g cm ⁻³]1.566 (MoK_a) [mm ⁻¹]3.480Scan type ω 2θ (max) [°]60.7Fransmission factors (min; max)0.711; 1.000Total reflections measured28288Symmetry-independent reflections6258Reflections used in refinement6258Parameters refined253Final $K(F)$ [$I > 2\sigma(I)$ reflections]0.0265 $wR(F^2)$ (all data)0.0628Weights: $w = [\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.027P)^2 + 2.909P]^{-1}$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ Goodness of fit1.042Final A_{max}/σ 0.002 $\Delta\rho$ (max; min) [e Å ⁻³]0.51; -0.33	Z	8
2θ Range for cell determination [°] $5-61$ Unit cell parameters: a [Å] $26.2131(5)$ b [Å] $14.4025(3)$ c [Å] c [Å] $12.0823(2)$ β [°] $99.6333(18)$ V [Å ³] $4497.17(15)$ D_x [g cm ⁻³] 1.566 (MoK_a) [mm ⁻¹] 3.480 Scan type ω $2\theta(\max)$ [°] 60.7 Fransmission factors (min; max) $0.711; 1.000$ Total reflections measured 28288 Symmetry-independent reflections 6258 Reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 5019 Reflections used in refinement 6258 Parameters refined 253 Final $R(F)$ [$I > 2\sigma(I)$ reflections] 0.0265 $wR(F^2)$ (all data) 0.0628 Weights: $w = [\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.027P)^2 + 2.909P]^{-1}$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ Goodness of fit 1.042 Final A_{max}/σ 0.002 $\Delta\rho$ (max; min) [e Å^{-3}] $0.51; -0.33$	Reflections for cell determination	12146
Unit cell parameters: $a [\mathring{A}]$ 26.2131(5) $b [\mathring{A}]$ 14.4025(3) $c [\mathring{A}]$ 12.0823(2) $\beta [^{\circ}]$ 99.6333(18) $V[\mathring{A}^{3}]$ 4497.17(15) $D_{x} [gcm^{-3}]$ 1.566 $\iota(MoK_{a}) [mm^{-1}]$ 3.480 Scan type ω $2\theta_{(max)} [^{\circ}]$ 60.7 Fransmission factors (min; max) 0.711; 1.000 Fotal reflections measured 28288 Symmetry-independent reflections 6258 Reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 5019 Reflections used in refinement 6258 Parameters refined 253 Final $R(F) [I > 2\sigma(I)$ reflections] 0.0265 $wR(F^{2}) (all data)$ 0.628 Weights: $w = [\sigma^{2}(F_{o}^{2}) + (0.027P)^{2} + 2.909P]^{-1}$ where $P = (F_{o}^{2} + 2F_{o}^{2})/3$ Goodness of fit 1.042 Final Δ_{max}/σ 0.002 $\Delta\rho$ (max; min) [$e \mathring{A}^{-3}$] 0.51; -0.33	2θ Range for cell determination [°]	5-61
a [Å] 26.2131(5) b [Å] 14.4025(3) c [Å] 12.0823(2) β [°] 99.6333(18) V [ų] 4497.17(15) D_x [g cm ⁻³] 1.566 ι (MoK _a) [mm ⁻¹] 3.480 Scan type ω $c\theta_{(max)}$ [°] 60.7 Fransmission factors (min; max) 0.711; 1.000 Fotal reflections measured 28288 Symmetry-independent reflections 6258 Reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 5019 Reflections used in refinement 6258 Parameters refined 253 Final $R(F)$ [$I > 2\sigma(I)$ reflections] 0.0265 $wR(F^2)$ (all data) 0.0628 Weights: $w = [\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.027P)^2 + 2.909P]^{-1}$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_o^2)/3$ Goodness of fit 1.042 Final Δ_{max}/σ 0.002 $\Delta\rho$ (max; min) [e Å ⁻³] 0.51; -0.33	Unit cell parameters:	
$\begin{array}{lll} b \left[\mathring{A} \right] & 14.4025(3) \\ c \left[\mathring{A} \right] & 12.0823(2) \\ \beta \left[\circ \right] & 99.6333(18) \\ V \left[\mathring{A}^3 \right] & 4497.17(15) \\ D_x \left[\text{g cm}^{-3} \right] & 1.566 \\ \iota(\text{MoK}_a) \left[\text{mm}^{-1} \right] & 3.480 \\ \text{Scan type} & \omega \\ 2\theta_{(\text{max})} \left[\circ \right] & 60.7 \\ \text{Fransmission factors (min; max)} & 0.711; 1.000 \\ \text{Fotal reflections measured} & 28288 \\ \text{Symmetry-independent reflections} & 6258 \\ \text{Reflections with } I > 2\sigma(I) & 5019 \\ \text{Reflections used in refinement} & 6258 \\ \text{Parameters refined} & 253 \\ \text{Final } R(F) \left[I > 2\sigma(I) \text{ reflections} \right] & 0.0265 \\ wR(F^2) (\text{all data}) & 0.0628 \\ \text{Weights:} & w = \left[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.027P)^2 + 2.909P \right]^{-1} \text{ where } P = (F_o^2 + 2F_o^2)/3 \\ \text{Goodness of fit} & 1.042 \\ \text{Final } \Delta_{\text{max}}/\sigma & 0.002 \\ \Delta \rho \left(\text{max; min} \right) \left[e \mathring{A}^{-3} \right] & 0.51; -0.33 \\ \end{array}$	a [Å]	26.2131(5)
c [Å] 12.0823(2) β [°] 99.6333(18) V [Å ³] 4497.17(15) D_x [g cm ⁻³] 1.566 ι (MoK _a) [mm ⁻¹] 3.480 Scan type ω $c\theta_{(max)}$ [°] 60.7 Fransmission factors (min; max) 0.711; 1.000 Fotal reflections measured 28288 Symmetry-independent reflections 6258 Reflections used in refinement 6258 Parameters refined 253 Final $R(F)$ [$I > 2\sigma(I)$ reflections] 0.0265 $wR(F^2)$ (all data) 0.0628 Weights: $w = [\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.027P)^2 + 2.909P]^{-1}$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_o^2)/3$ Goodness of fit 1.042 Final Δ_{max}/σ 0.002 $\Delta\rho$ (max; min) [e Å ⁻³] 0.51; -0.33	b [Å]	14.4025(3)
β [°] 99.6333(18) V [Å ³] 4497.17(15) D_x [g cm ⁻³] 1.566 ι(MoK _a) [mm ⁻¹] 3.480 Scan type ω $2\theta_{(max)}$ [°] 60.7 Fransmission factors (min; max) 0.711; 1.000 Fotal reflections measured 28288 Symmetry-independent reflections 6258 Reflections used in refinement 6258 Parameters refined 253 Final $R(F)$ [$I > 2\sigma(I)$ reflections] 0.0265 $wR(F^2)$ (all data) 0.0628 Weights: $w = [\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.027P)^2 + 2.909P]^{-1}$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ Goodness of fit 1.042 Final Δ_{max}/σ 0.002 $\Delta\rho$ (max; min) [e Å ⁻³] 0.51; -0.33	<i>c</i> [Å]	12.0823(2)
$V[Å^3]$ 4497.17(15) $D_x [gcm^{-3}]$ 1.566 $\iota(MoK_a) [mm^{-1}]$ 3.480 Scan type ω $2\theta_{(max)}[^\circ]$ 60.7 Fransmission factors (min; max) 0.711; 1.000 Fotal reflections measured 28288 Symmetry-independent reflections 6258 Reflections used in refinement 6258 Parameters refined 253 Final $R(F) [I > 2\sigma(I)$ reflections] 0.0265 $wR(F^2)$ (all data) 0.0628 Weights: $w = [\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.027P)^2 + 2.909P]^{-1}$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ Goodness of fit 1.042 Final Δ_{max}/σ 0.002 $\Delta\rho$ (max; min) [e Å^{-3}] 0.51; -0.33	β [°]	99.6333(18)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	<i>V</i> [Å ³]	4497.17(15)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$D_{\rm x} \left[{ m g}{ m cm}^{-3} ight]$	1.566
Scan type ω $2\theta_{(max)}[^{\circ}]$ 60.7Fransmission factors (min; max)0.711; 1.000Fotal reflections measured28288Symmetry-independent reflections6258Reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 5019Reflections used in refinement6258Parameters refined253Final $R(F)$ [$I > 2\sigma(I)$ reflections]0.0265 $wR(F^2)$ (all data)0.0628Weights: $w = [\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.027P)^2 + 2.909P]^{-1}$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ Goodness of fit1.042Final Δ_{max}/σ 0.002 $\Delta \rho$ (max; min) [e Å^{-3}]0.51; -0.33	$\mu(MoK_a) [mm^{-1}]$	3.480
$2\theta_{(max)}[\circ]$ 60.7Gransmission factors (min; max)0.711; 1.000Fotal reflections measured28288Symmetry-independent reflections6258Reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 5019Reflections used in refinement6258Parameters refined253Final $R(F)$ $[I > 2\sigma(I)$ reflections]0.0265 $wR(F^2)$ (all data)0.0628Weights: $w = [\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.027P)^2 + 2.909P]^{-1}$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ Goodness of fit1.042Final Δ_{max}/σ 0.002 $\Delta \rho$ (max; min) $[e Å^{-3}]$ 0.51; -0.33	Scan type	ω
If ransmission factors (min; max)0.711; 1.000Total reflections measured28288Symmetry-independent reflections6258Reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 5019Reflections used in refinement6258Parameters refined253Final $R(F)$ [$I > 2\sigma(I)$ reflections]0.0265 $wR(F^2)$ (all data)0.0628Weights: $w = [\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.027P)^2 + 2.909P]^{-1}$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_o^2)/3$ Goodness of fit1.042Final Δ_{max}/σ 0.002 $\Delta \rho$ (max; min) [e Å^{-3}]0.51; -0.33	$2\theta_{(\max)}$ [°]	60.7
Fotal reflections measured28288Symmetry-independent reflections6258Reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 5019Reflections used in refinement6258Parameters refined253Final $R(F)$ [$I > 2\sigma(I)$ reflections]0.0265 $wR(F^2)$ (all data)0.0628Weights: $w = [\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.027P)^2 + 2.909P]^{-1}$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ Goodness of fit1.042Final Δ_{max}/σ 0.002 $\Delta \rho$ (max; min) [e Å^{-3}]0.51; -0.33	Transmission factors (min; max)	0.711; 1.000
Symmetry-independent reflections6258Reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 5019Reflections used in refinement6258Parameters refined253Final $R(F)$ $[I > 2\sigma(I)$ reflections]0.0265 $wR(F^2)$ (all data)0.0628Weights: $w = [\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.027P)^2 + 2.909P]^{-1}$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_o^2)/3$ Goodness of fit1.042Final Δ_{max}/σ 0.002 $\Delta \rho$ (max; min) $[e Å^{-3}]$ 0.51; -0.33	Total reflections measured	28288
Reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 5019Reflections used in refinement6258Parameters refined253Final $R(F)$ $[I > 2\sigma(I)$ reflections]0.0265 $wR(F^2)$ (all data)0.0628Weights: $w = [\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.027P)^2 + 2.909P]^{-1}$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_o^2)/3$ Goodness of fit1.042Final Δ_{max}/σ 0.002 $\Delta \rho$ (max; min) $[e Å^{-3}]$ 0.51; -0.33	Symmetry-independent reflections	6258
Reflections used in refinement6258Parameters refined253Final $R(F)$ $[I > 2\sigma(I)$ reflections]0.0265 $wR(F^2)$ (all data)0.0628Weights: $w = [\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.027P)^2 + 2.909P]^{-1}$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_o^2)/3$ Goodness of fit1.042Final Δ_{max}/σ 0.002 $\Delta \rho$ (max; min) $[e Å^{-3}]$ 0.51; -0.33	Reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$	5019
Parameters refined 253 Final $R(F) [I > 2\sigma(I) reflections]$ 0.0265 $wR(F^2)$ (all data) 0.0628 Weights: $w = [\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.027P)^2 + 2.909P]^{-1}$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_o^2)/3$ Goodness of fit 1.042 Final Δ_{max}/σ 0.002 $\Delta \rho$ (max; min) [e Å^{-3}] 0.51; -0.33	Reflections used in refinement	6258
Final $R(F)$ $[I > 2\sigma(I)$ reflections] 0.0265 $wR(F^2)$ (all data) 0.0628 Weights: $w = [\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.027P)^2 + 2.909P]^{-1}$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_o^2)/3$ Goodness of fit 1.042 Final Δ_{max}/σ 0.002 $\Delta\rho$ (max; min) [e Å^{-3}] 0.51; -0.33	Parameters refined	253
$wR(F^2)$ (all data) 0.0628 Weights: $w = [\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.027P)^2 + 2.909P]^{-1}$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_o^2)/3$ Goodness of fit 1.042 Final Δ_{max}/σ 0.002 $\Delta \rho$ (max; min) [e Å-3] 0.51; -0.33	Final $R(F)$ [$I > 2\sigma(I)$ reflections]	0.0265
Weights: $w = [\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.027P)^2 + 2.909P]^{-1}$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_o^2)/3$ Goodness of fit 1.042 Final Δ_{max}/σ 0.002 $\Delta \rho$ (max; min) [e Å-3] 0.51; -0.33	$wR(F^2)$ (all data)	0.0628
Goodness of fit1.042Final Δ_{max}/σ 0.002 $\Delta \rho$ (max; min) [e Å-3]0.51; -0.33	Weights:	$w = [\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.027P)^2 + 2.909P]^{-1}$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
Final Δ_{max}/σ 0.002 $\Delta \rho$ (max; min) [eÅ ⁻³] 0.51; -0.33	Goodness of fit	1.042
$\Delta \rho (\max; \min) [e \AA^{-3}]$ 0.51; -0.33	Final Δ_{\max} / σ	0.002
	$\Delta \rho$ (max; min) [e Å ⁻³]	0.51; -0.33

anisotropically. All of the H-atoms were placed in geometrically calculated positions and refined by using a riding model where each H-atom was assigned a fixed isotropic displacement parameter with a value equal to 1.2 U_{eq} of its parent atom. The refinement of the structure was carried out on F^2 by using fullmatrix least-squares procedures, which minimized the function $\Sigma w (F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2$. A correction for secondary extinction was not applied. There are two voids of 174 Å³ per unit cell, which join together into channels running parallel to [001]. The SQUEEZE routine [17] of the PLATON program [18] indicated *ca*. 10 e per cavity, which would correspond to a H₂O molecule. However, neither inclusion of a H₂O molecule, even with partial occupancy, nor refinement with the data generated by SQUEEZE yielded any improvement in the results, so the original reflection data and the solvent-free model were retained for the final refinements. The maximum residual electron-density peak is only 0.55 e Å⁻³, so if solvent is present in the channels, it is diffuse. Neutral atom-scattering factors for non-H-atoms were taken from [19a], and the scattering factors for H-atoms were taken from [20]. Anomalous dispersion effects were included in F_c [21]; the values for f' and f'' were those of *Creagh* and *McAuley* [19b]. The values of the mass attenuation coefficients are those of *Creagh* and *Hubbel* [19c]. The SHELXL-2013 program [22] was used for all calculations.

REFERENCES

- 'Synthetic Applications of 1,3-Dipolar Cycloaddition Chemistry Toward Heterocycles and Natural Products', Eds. A. Padwa, W. H. Pearson, J. Wiley & Sons, New York, 2002.
- [2] R. Huisgen, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1963, 2, 565; R. Huisgen, in '1,3-Dipolar Cycloaddition Chemistry', Ed. A. Padwa, J. Wiley & Sons, New York, 1984, Vol. 1, pp. 1–176.
- [3] a) G. Mlostoń, H. Heimgartner, in 'Science of Synthesis', Update 2014, Vol. 27, pp. 393-401; b) G. Mlostoń, H. Heimgartner, in 'Science of Synthesis', Update 2014, Vol. 27, pp. 403-411; c) G. Mlostoń, H. Heimgartner, in 'Science of Synthesis', Update 2014, Vol. 27, pp. 377-384.
- [4] R. Huisgen, G. Mlostoń, H. Giera, E. Langhals, *Tetrahedron* 2002, 58, 507; G. Mlostoń, R. Huisgen, H. Giera, *Tetrahedron* 2002, 58, 4185.
- [5] R. Huisgen, G. Mlostoń, E. Langhals, T. Oshima, Helv. Chim. Acta 2002, 85, 2668.
- [6] C. E. Diebert, J. Org. Chem. 1970, 35, 1501.
- [7] G. Mlostoń, H. Heimgartner, *Pol. J. Chem.* 2000, 74, 1503; G. Mlostoń, H. Heimgartner, in '1,3-Dipolar Cycloaddition Chemistry Towards Heterocycles and Natural Products', Eds. A. Padwa, W. H. Pearson, J. Wiley & Sons, New York, 2002, p. 315.
- [8] R. A. Firestone, J. Org. Chem. 1968, 33, 2285; R. A. Firestone, Tetrahedron 1977, 33, 3009.
- [9] J. Baran, H. Meyer, J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 5774.
- [10] a) R. Sustmann, W. Sicking, R. Huisgen, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2003, *9*, 2245; b) R. Huisgen, G. Mlostoń, K. Polborn, R. Sustmann, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2003, *9*, 2256; c) G. Mlostoń, P. Pipiak, A. Linden, H. Heimgartner, *Helv. Chim. Acta* 2015, *98*, 462.
- [11] R. Huisgen, I. Kalvinsch, X. Li, G. Mlostoń, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 1685.
- [12] C. K. Johnson, 'ORTEPII, Report ORNL-5138', Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, 1976.
- [13] G. Mlostoń, K. Urbaniak, K. Gębicki, P. Grzelak, H. Heimgartner, Heteroatom Chem. 2014, 25, 548.
- [14] M. Jesberger, T. P. Davis, L. Barner, Synthesis 2003, 1929.
- [15] 'CrysAlisPro', Version 1.171.37.31d, Agilent Technologies, Yarnton, Oxfordshire, England, 2014.
- [16] G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A 2008, 64, 112.
- [17] P. van der Sluis, A. L. Spek, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A 1990, 46, 194.
- [18] A. L. Spek, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C 2015, 71, 9.
- [19] a) E. N. Maslen, A. G. Fox, M. A. O'Keefe, in 'International Tables for Crystallography', Ed. A. J. C. Wilson, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1992, Vol. C, Table 6.1.1.1, pp. 477–486; b) D. C. Creagh, W. J. McAuley, in 'International Tables for Crystallography', Ed. A. J. C. Wilson, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1992, Vol. C, Table 4.2.6.8, pp. 219–222; c) D. C. Creagh, J. H. Hubbell, in 'International Tables for Crystallography', Ed. A. J. C. Wilson, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1992, Vol. C, Table 4.2.6.8, pp. 219–222; c) D. C. Creagh, J. H. Hubbell, in 'International Tables for Crystallography', Ed. A. J. C. Wilson, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1992, Vol. C, Table 4.2.4.3, pp. 200–206.
- [20] R. F. Stewart, E. R. Davidson, W. T. Simpson, J. Chem. Phys. 1965, 42, 3175.
- [21] J. A. Ibers, W. C. Hamilton, Acta Crystallogr. 1964, 17, 781.
- [22] G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C 2015, 71, 3.

Received February 26, 2015